



## Review

## Evolution, body composition, insulin receptor competition, and insulin resistance

S. Boyd Eaton <sup>a,\*</sup>, Loren Cordain <sup>b</sup>, Phillip B. Sparling <sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup> Departments of Anthropology and Radiology, Emory University, 2887 Howell Mill NW, Atlanta, GA 30327, USA<sup>b</sup> Department of Health and Exercise Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA<sup>c</sup> School of Applied Physiology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 281 Ferst Drive, North Ave. NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Available online 15 August 2009

## Keywords:

Insulin receptors  
 Insulin resistance  
 Body composition  
 Evolutionary health promotion  
 Type 2 diabetes  
 Insulin receptor competition

## ABSTRACT

**Objective.** Better understanding of the relationships between body composition and insulin resistance.

**Results.** Average human adiposity and sarcopenia have attained unprecedented levels and the resultant abnormal body composition distorts insulin receptor balance. Compared to evolutionary norms we now have too many adipocyte insulin receptors (in adipose tissue and liver) and too few myocyte insulin receptors. The body's insulin receptors can be conceptualized as competing for insulin molecules released from the pancreas. When an insulin molecule docks on an adipocyte receptor, substantially fewer glucose molecules are cleared from the blood than when an insulin molecule docks on a myocyte insulin receptor. Populational insulin receptor imbalance would seem to parallel the secular rise in insulin resistance and offers an attractive pathophysiological explanation for the accompanying type 2 diabetes epidemic.

**Conclusion.** An evolutionary perspective regarding body composition, insulin receptor imbalance, and the consequent impact on carbohydrate metabolism should enhance public acceptance of recommendations to increase physical activity.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Contents

Conflict of interest statement . . . . .	285
References . . . . .	285

Mammalian metabolism appears to have been designed via evolution to function appropriately within a “natural” range of body composition—proportions of fat and muscle similar to those extant during selection (Smith et al., 2004). The current epidemic of insulin resistance reflects, at least in part, an increasing number of individuals with body composition rare in prior mammalian experience (Hathaway and Foard, 1960; Ledger, 1968; Ford and Mokdad, 2008).

The genes and regulatory mechanisms, which integrate carbohydrate ingestion, blood glucose concentration, pancreatic insulin secretion, and glucose clearance, appear to have been selected well over 100 million years ago (Warren et al., 2008; Ebberink et al., 1989) for mammalian predecessors whose body composition anticipated that of contemporary free-living animals (Smith et al., 2004). Although admittedly imperfect indicators of body composition, comparative body mass indices [10 forager groups—the best available Stone Ager surrogates—from five continents (mean

BMI = 20.9) (Jenike, 2001), mid-nineteenth century college students (21.1) (Hathaway and Foard, 1960), and contemporary college students (25.8) (Behrens and Dinger, 2003)] suggest that, until recently, proportions of muscle and fat for most humans remained similar to the ancestral pattern, conducive to normal carbohydrate metabolism. Sufficient body fat is adaptive (ovulation, fetal development, food shortage survival, etc.); however, the hyperadiposity (and relative sarcopenia), which have become common during the past 50 years, distort the anatomic arena within which glucose and insulin play their roles.

Based on the skeletal remains of Stone Agers and on the body habitus of recently-studied hunter-gatherers, paleoanthropologists conclude that the physiques of average preagricultural adults resembled those of contemporary superior athletes (Ruff, 2000a,b). For today's highly trained males, the ratio of skeletal muscle to adipose tissue approximates 5:1 (~50% body weight as muscle, ~10% as fat) (Proctor and Joyner, 1997; Janssen et al., 2000). For current female athletes, the ratio can be as high as 3:1 (~45% muscle, ~15% fat) (Proctor and Joyner, 1997; Janssen et al. 2000), but because elite women athletes often experience ovulatory dysfunction—which would have been selected against—the retrojected tissue proportions

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [sboydeaton@comcast.net](mailto:sboydeaton@comcast.net) (S.B. Eaton).

for Stone Age women are 35%–40% muscle and 20%–25% fat. Today, in the United States and increasingly all over the world, people with excess fat (men >25% and women >35%) and reduced muscle (<35% and <30%, respectively) are common (Janssen et al., 2004). These are the individuals at high risk for insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes.

The insulin receptors of adipocytes and myocytes are essentially equivalent. Although there are two insulin receptor isoforms which differ in their affinity for insulin, similar amounts of both are present in skeletal muscle and adipocytes (Benecke et al., 1992). Accordingly fatty tissue and skeletal muscle engage in a whole body competition for circulating insulin. Insulin molecules which dock on adipocyte receptors are unavailable to muscle insulin receptors and vice versa. For this reason relative tissue proportions largely determine how the insulin molecules released during any given pancreatic secretory pulse are distributed. The other major determinant is the proportion of cardiac output reaching various body regions as discussed below (Prinzen and Bassingthwaighe, 2000).

Depending on muscle fitness (which is roughly proportional to  $VO_2$  max) an insulin molecule activating a muscle insulin receptor induces 7–10 times more glucose clearance than does one reacting with an adipose tissue receptor (Shulman et al., 1990; Perseghin et al., 1996). In regard to glucose clearance, insulin molecules interacting with fatty tissue are being utilized inefficiently. That is, an insulin molecule docking on an adipocyte insulin receptor results in less glucose clearance than it would had it docked on a myocyte insulin receptor. Other factors being equal, this means that lean, muscular, fit individuals exhibit greater insulin sensitivity than do those sarcopenic, over fat, and unfit:

$$\text{Insulin Efficacy} \sim \frac{\% \text{Muscle Mass} \times VO_2 \text{max}}{\% \text{Fat Mass}}$$

Insulin receptor imbalance—too many adipose tissue receptors relative to myocyte receptors—necessitates extra insulin secretion for any given carbohydrate load (Fig. 1). In turn, repetitive hyperinsulinemia activates adaptive intracellular mechanisms (i.e. gene regulation) which lead to intrinsic insulin resistance (Kahn and Flier, 2000). Intrinsically resistant tissues (muscle, fat, liver) take up less glucose than normal despite similar tissue mass, insulin


stimulation, and glucose concentration. Type 2 diabetes development can therefore be viewed as a two-phase process. The phases are not sequentially discrete, they overlap, but the initiating phenomenon is abnormal body composition and associated insulin receptor imbalance. Intrinsic insulin resistance is the secondary, reactive phase.

The anatomic location of adipocytes affects the likelihood that they will capture circulating insulin molecules. Adipocytes in the liver occupy a particularly strategic position because they are exposed to all insulin molecules released from the pancreas into the portal circulation. Those insulin molecules docking on hepatic insulin receptors are “lost” to the remainder of the body; hence more liver fat means that fewer insulin molecules from any given pancreatic secretory pulse are available to reach myocyte insulin receptors. This circumstance may help explain why fat accumulation in the liver has been found an important determinant of metabolically benign obesity. Obese individuals who nevertheless have relatively little hepatic fat tend to be insulin responsive—“fat but fit” (Stefan et al., 2008”).

The apple–pear dichotomy is similarly in keeping with insulin receptor competition. The intraabdominal (visceral) compartment receives 25% of cardiac output at rest and 35% during digestion. Skin and subcutaneous tissue receive only 5% (Williams and Leggett, 1989). Accordingly adipocyte insulin receptors within the abdominal cavity (think apple) are favorably placed to compete for insulin molecules because they are exposed to five to seven times as many such molecules as are adipocyte insulin receptors in subcutaneous adipose tissue (think pear).

Ascertaining the biomolecular details of insulin resistance is complex, challenging, and fascinating. However the recent secular increase in type 2 diabetes prevalence has occurred too rapidly to be the result of DNA sequence changes or fundamental alteration of gene regulatory mechanisms. It must reflect altered gene expression induced by the population's unprecedented change in body composition which, in turn, has distorted insulin receptor balance.

There is disagreement about the relative importance of overeating (gluttony) and physical inactivity (sloth) as causes of obesity and insulin resistance (Prentice and Webb, 1995). Recently adopted food industry practices—supersizing, use of high-fructose corn syrup, fast food pricing, and so on—are clearly contributors. However, ancestral experience suggests that inadequate physical activity is an equally, if not more,



**Fig. 1.** In evolutionarily “normal” individuals (left) an appropriate proportion of circulating insulin molecules dock on muscle insulin receptors. In obese, sarcopenic individuals (right) too many dock on adipocyte insulin receptors. The result, insufficient glucose clearance, necessitates additional insulin secretion for any given glucose load.

important factor. Elite athletes, especially competitors in endurance events, consume far more food energy than do average Americans, yet they remain lean. Both the caloric intake and output of today's superior athletes exceed levels retrojected for Stone Agers, but if recently-studied hunter-gatherers be accepted as surrogates, our ancestors consumed substantially more food energy than we do [2800 kcal/d vs. 2007 kcal/d (women and men averaged)] (Eaton, 2006). However, their obligatory physical activity [1240 kcal/d vs. 555 kcal/d] determined that greater muscularity and more skeletal robusticity were the result—not hyperadiposity (Eaton and Eaton, 2003).

Health advice based on epidemiological data and mechanistic research has clearly failed to achieve the desired societal impact. This suggests that a new approach, perhaps one integrating physical activity (and nutrition) within an overarching paradigm, deserves consideration. Obesity, type 2 diabetes, many cancers, hypertension, osteoporosis, atherosclerosis, and numerous other disorders conform to the same general rule: deviation from our ancestors' way of life increases susceptibility, while reincorporating the essentials of that lifestyle reduces risk (Eaton et al., 2002). Hundreds of genes and a myriad of regulatory factors interact with lifestyle to determine whether or not an individual develops complex degenerative disease. Nearly all these genes and also the mechanisms regulating their expression were selected for the circumstances of life in the Paleolithic. An aggressive health promotion campaign based on this rational, easily-understood formulation might energize the public and lead a greater proportion to act on recommendations which have hitherto had disappointingly little effect.

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Benecke, H., Flier, J.S., Moller, D.E., 1992. Alternatively spliced variants of the insulin receptor protein. Expression in normal and diabetic human tissues. *J. Clin. Invest.* 99, 2066–2070.
- Behrens, T.K., Dinger, M.K., 2003. A preliminary investigation of college students' physical activity patterns. *Am. J. Health Studies* 18, 169–172.
- Eaton, S.B., Strassmann, B.I., Nesse, R.M., et al., 2002. Evolutionary health promotion. *Prevent. Med.* 34, 109–118.
- Eaton, S.B., Eaton, S.B., 2003. An evolutionary perspective on human physical activity: implications for health. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A* 136, 153–159.
- Eaton, S.B., 2006. The ancestral human diet. What was it and should it be a paradigm for contemporary human nutrition? *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 65, 1–6.
- Eberink, R.H.M., Smit, A.B., VanMinnen, J., 1989. The insulin family: evolution of structure and function in vertebrates and invertebrates. *Biol. Bull.* 177, 176–182.
- Ford, E.S., Mokdad, A.M., 2008. Epidemiology of obesity in the Western Hemisphere. *J. Clin. Endocrin. Metabol.* 93 (11), S1–S8.
- Hathaway, M.L., Foard, E.D., 1960. Heights and weights of adults in the United States. Home Economics Research Report No. 10. US Dept Agriculture, Washington, DC, p. 38.
- Janssen, I., Heymsfield, S.B., Wang, Z., Ross, R., 2000. Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18–88 yr. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 89, 81–88.
- Janssen, I., Katzmarzyk, P.T., Ross, R., et al., 2004. Fitness alters the associations of BMI and waist circumference with total and abdominal fat. *Obesity Res.* 12, 372–525.
- Jenike, M.R., 2001. Nutritional ecology: diet, physical activity and body size. In: Panter-Brick, C., Layton, R.H., Rowley-Conway, P. (Eds.), *Hunter-Gatherers. An Interdisciplinary Perspective*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, p. 223.
- Kahn, B.B., Flier, J.S., 2000. Obesity and insulin resistance. *J. Clin. Invest.* 106, 473–481.
- Ledger, H.P., 1968. Body composition as a basis for a comparative study of some East African mammals. *Symp. Zool. Soc. London* 21, 289–310.
- Perseghin, G., Price, T.B., Falk Petersen, K., et al., 1996. Increased glucose transport-phosphorylation and muscle glycogen synthesis after exercise training in insulin-resistant subjects. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 335, 1357–1362.
- Prentice, A.M., Webb, S.A., 1995. Obesity in Britain: gluttony or sloth? *BMJ* 311, 437–439.
- Prinzen, F.W., Bassingthwaite, J.R., 2000. Blood flow distribution by microsphere distribution methods. *Cardio. Vasc. Res.* 45, 13–21.
- Proctor, D.N., Joyner, M.J., 1997. Skeletal muscle mass and the reduction of O<sub>2</sub> max in trained older subjects. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 82, 1411–1415.
- Ruff, C.B., 2000a. Body mass prediction from skeletal frame size in elite athletes. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 113, 507–517.
- Ruff, C.B., 2000b. Body size, body shape, and long bone strength in modern humans. *J. Hum. Evol.* 38, 269–290.
- Shulman, G.I., Rothman, D.L., Jue, T., Stein, P., DeFronzo, R.A., Shulman, R.G., 1990. Quantification of muscle glycogen synthesis in normal subjects and subjects with non-insulin dependent diabetes by <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 322, 223–228.
- Smith, F.A., Brown, J.H., Haskell, J.P., et al., 2004. Similarity of mammalian body size across the taxonomic hierarchy and across space and time. *Am. Nat.* 163, 673–691.
- Stefan, N., Kantartzis, K., Machann, J., et al., 2008. Identification and characterization of metabolically benign obesity in humans. *Arch. Int. Med.* 168, 1609–1616.
- Warren, W.C., Hillier, L.W., Marshall Graves, J.A., et al., 2008. Genomic analysis of the platypus reveals unique signatures of evolution. *Nature* 440, 242–245.
- Williams, L.R., Leggett, R.W., 1989. Reference values for resting blood flow to organs of man. *Clin. Phys. Physiol. Meas.* 10, 187–217.